

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendments

No claims have been amended.
Claims 1-26 have been cancelled.
Claims 27-46 are pending.

Claims 27-46 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

I. Applicant's arguments (see Appeal Brief pages 13-24 filed 5/11/2009) with respect to claims 27-46 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of the pending claims have therefore been withdrawn.

Reasons for Allowance

II. This communication warrants no Examiner's reason for allowance, as Applicant's reply makes evident the reason for allowance, satisfying the record as whole as required by rule 37 CFR 1.104 (e). In this case, the substance of Applicant's comments in the Remarks filed on 10/16/2007 with respect to the claimed limitations of *“transmitting, by the remote system, a list of the courses associated with the user to the respective client system associated the user; receiving, by the remote system, a selection of at least one of the courses in the course list from the user; accessing, by the remote system, the course database to determine one or more exercises associated with the selected course; transmitting, by the remote system, a list of the exercises associated with the selected course to the respective client system associated with the*

user; receiving, by the remote system, a selection of at least one of the exercises in the transmitted exercise list from the user; accessing, by the remote system, the course database to determine at least one virtual machine associated with the selected exercise; launching, by the remote system, the virtual machine associated with the selected exercise, wherein the launched virtual machine generates a user interface for performing the selected exercise; and transmitting, by the remote system, a view of the user interface to the respective client system associated with the user, wherein the user performs the selected exercise by remotely interacting with the virtual machine via the view of the user interface” sufficiently present the reasons claims are patentable over the prior art of record. Thus, the reason for allowance is in all probability evident from the record and no statement of an Examiner's reason for allowance is necessary (see MPEP 13202.14).

The claimed invention is not specifically disclosed or remotely suggested in the prior art of record. A review of Claims 27-46 in view of the Examiner's remarks above, indicates that Claims 27-46 are allowable over the prior art of record.

III. Any comments considered necessary by Applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled “Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance.”

Conclusion

IV. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Kristie Shingles whose telephone number is 571-272-3888. The

Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, William Vaughn can be reached on 571-272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kristie D. Shingles
Examiner
Art Unit 2444

/KDS/
/William C. Vaughn, Jr./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444